

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/376,654	08/18/1999	ALAN FOLMSBEE	5437-076/P41	6747
25920 7	590 12/03/2003		EXAMI	VER
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
710 LAKEWA SUITE 170	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE	C, CA 94085		2132	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/376,654	FOLMSBEE, ALAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however within the statutory minin ill apply and will expire SI cause the application to t	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 C</u>	October 2003 .					
<u> </u>	s action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirem	ient.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u>.</u>					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 1999 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	o priority and or oc	33 120 GIMOT 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 20 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the Fosdick reference does not disclose correcting intentionally inserted errors is not persuasive because the current claims do not distinguish between intentional and unintentional errors. In order to distinguish these errors an additional step is needed to clarify how they are intentional.

Applicant's arguments, see paper 5, filed 24 October 2003, with respect to the obviousness double patenting rejections of claims 1-26 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The obviousness double patenting rejections of claims 1-26 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7, 12, 17-20, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fosdick, U.S. Patent No. 4,866,718. Referring to claims 1-4, 7, 12, 17-19, 25, 26, Fosdick discloses an error tolerant microprocessor wherein a single chip microprocessor (programmable error correcting circuit) is capable of real time, soft error detection and correction of internal registers utilizing a bit serial architecture. The microprocessor automatically detects and corrects internal soft errors during each word cycle. This detection and correction of a soft error is

transparent to the device interface (Col. 2, lines 7-49). A set of multi-stage shift registers is used to store error code word bits corresponding to a parallel set of bits. An error code generator and comparator circuit is used to generate and compare error codes based on well-known single error correction double error detection coding techniques. A select code circuit coupled to a bi-directional data bus communicates with the data shift registers. Function units or any standard arithmetic logic unit may be used to perform basic arithmetic and logic operations (Col. 3, lines 24-61). A horizontal word (correction key) is defined for the purposes of the invention as an error correctable word (ECW). Bit errors within the ECW are detected and corrected using conventional error detection schemes (Col. 5, lines 17-23).

Referring to claim 20, Fosdick discloses performing basic arithmetic and logical operations on two data inputs. The serial output from the function unit is connected to the output line of the internal bus for storage into the selected destination register (Col. 4, lines 49-61).

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/376,654

Art Unit: 2132

Page 4

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATERI EXAMINER

6 Mounts B-

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100